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22 – 23 June, Königswinter – Bonn/Germany
The ISC3 Stakeholder Forum – a new platform for the dialogue on Sustainable Chemistry

As a globally acting collaborative centre, the ISC3 contributes to the transformation of chemistry towards sustainability in cooperation with stakeholders. The Stakeholder Forum is one of the key elements of the ISC3 stakeholder engagement. The Forum offers a platform to share ideas, engage in the transformative agenda of the ISC3 and build up an international Sustainable Chemistry Community. The first ISC3 Stakeholder Forum took place in Königswinter/Bonn in Germany, introducing the participants to the work programme of the ISC3 and inviting them to engage in the dialogue on sustainable chemistry. Nearly 110 Stakeholders from industry, academia, governments, NGOs and IGOs from Europe, Africa, Asia as well as North- and South America took the opportunity to exchange experiences, insights, and expectations, sharing and exchanging perspectives and opinions on sustainable and green chemistry. This report documents the input the participants kindly shared with the ISC3 during two labour-intensive and fruitful days.

With the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, the International Sustainable Chemistry Collaborative Centre (ISC3) aims to promote a new system thinking approach in the chemical sector. The concept focuses on the entire life cycle of products and offers an opportunity to move entire supply chains towards sustainable circular economy models. The holistic approach promotes the use of environmentally and socially friendly alternatives, and offers new business opportunities, especially for entrepreneurs and start-ups.

According to the UNEP report “Analysis of Stakeholder Submissions on Sustainable Chemistry Pursuant to UNEA Resolution 2/7”, sustainable chemistry has the potential to contribute to achieving at least 12 out of 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Delivered at scale, sustainable chemistry solutions can provide important contributions to achieving the SDGs as well as to the sound management of chemicals and waste.

The ISC3 Stakeholder Forum invited stakeholders from different sectors to engage in an inter-disciplinary dialogue on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, offering a platform to voice expectations, ideas and concerns. Opening the floor to a broad discussion on the concept as well as on the activities needed to promote transformative action, the forum provided a broad range of dialogue formats to share experiences, co-create ideas and interconnect the manifold ways of promoting sustainable development in the chemical sector and beyond.
Day 1
“Mission Sustainable Chemistry”

The first day of the Stakeholder Forum opened with a directors’ dialogue under the motto “Sustainable Chemistry – Our Mission at the ISC3”. Friedrich Barth, Managing Director of the ISC3 Headquarter, Dr. Andreas Förster, Director ISC3 Innovation Hub and Prof. Klaus Kümmerer, Director ISC3 Research & Education Hub, presented the vision and mission of the centre and discussed how the ISC3, as a new international centre for sustainable chemistry, contributes to sustainable development. Andreas Förster and Klaus Kümmerer introduced the participants to the goals and core activities of the ISC3 Hubs; the Innovation Hub, hosted by DECHEMA e.V., with the Global Start-up Service, and the ISC3 Research & Education Hub, hosted by Leuphana University, with the Master programmes and the research agenda. The directors closed the dialogue with a personal statement, expressing their dedication to sustainable chemistry as a driver of sustainable innovation and an essential contribution to the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

The discussion on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, as the focus topic of the first day, was opened through a panel discussion highlighting different perspectives and expectations. The panel featured members of the ISC3 Advisory Board: Dr. Sam Adu-Kumi, Chemicals Control and Management Centre Ghana; Dr. Pierre Barthélémy, CEFIC; Rebecca Freitag, Youth Delegate to High-level Political Forum on Sustainable Development at the United Nations; and Elisabeth Roelofs, MVO Netherlands. The panellists gave their specific expectations towards the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, highlighting important elements to be integrated into a common understanding of the concept. They stressed the opportunities that come with sustainable chemistry innovation as a driver of entrepreneurship in developing countries while underpinning the growing commitment of the private sector towards the SDGs. Furthermore, the panellists called for a holistic approach with an emphasis on environmental aspects and expressed their hopes for a better future through a bold commitment to sustainable chemistry as a new leading concept.

“Towards a Common Understanding of Sustainable Chemistry”

The emerging concept of sustainable chemistry calls for the development of a common understanding of its objectives and key elements. As a collaborative centre for sustainable chemistry, the ISC3 has therefore initiated a dialogue process, inviting stakeholder from all relevant sectors to engage in the endeavour. Based on the ISC3 thought-starter “Towards a common understanding of sustainable chemistry”, the first round table dialogue of the Stakeholder Forum was dedicated to the discussion on the expectations towards sustainable chemistry and key elements of a common understanding.

The thought-starter “Towards a common understanding of sustainable chemistry” is available at the ISC3 webpage. The thought-starter was submitted to the SAIWM process as part of the INF doc “Reaping the full potential of sustainable chemistry for SAIWM: the Sound Management of Chemicals and Waste beyond 2020” (Open-Ended Working Group III, Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SACM) beyond 2020).

The round table dialogue invited the participants to share views, highlight different positions and expectations on the objectives of sustainable chemistry and discuss key elements for a common understanding, thus jointly shaping the emerging concept. The lively discussion was facilitated by the ISC3 team and encouraged a cross-sectoral open debate.

The dialogue was structured along the following three guiding questions to the participants:

1. What are the key elements to describe sustainable chemistry?
2. What are the specific expectations of the private sector, and what defines sustainable chemistry from the perspective of the public sector, of academia and NGOs?
3. How can the different expectations be brought together in a “common understanding”?

Bringing together the views of more than 100 experts from different world regions and sectors, the round table discussion inspired a broad panorama of different aspects and priorities regarding the development of a common understanding of sustainable chemistry. For each table, the results of the dialogue were noted, and key findings shared in a final plenary discussion.

To allow an overview of the results and enable the further development of a common understanding, the contributions of the participants during the discussion are presented in thematic clusters in this documentation. Identical or similar comments have been summarized and reported below under different key elements.

General comments on developing a Common Understanding of Sustainable Chemistry

The ISC3 thought-starter “Towards a common understanding of sustainable chemistry” provided an initial starting point for the discussion. With a first draft of key elements and objectives based on the assumption that sustainable chemistry is a holistic approach and calls for a new system thinking along the life cycle of chemical products, the paper was welcomed by the participants. The idea of understanding sustainable chemistry as an approach which encompasses the three dimensions of sustainable development and sets a focus on product life cycle assessment, supply chain management and circularity, received an overall positive feedback.

During the round table dialogue, numerous stakeholders agreed that the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry requires a cross-sectoral learning process and stressed that the development of a common understanding should be open to new insights. The concept still needs to be explored and should be further developed as the scientific knowledge and the practical experiences keep growing.
Beyond the more general remarks on the thought-starter, the round table discussion brought up a broad range of topics for further consideration in the development of a common understanding as well as questions that need to be answered.

The remarks and recommendations of the participants on the common understanding of sustainable chemistry can be found below.

**General remarks on developing a Common Understanding of Sustainable Chemistry**

**Stakeholder remarks on the key element “innovation”:**

Given that it is not possible to create “no impact” technology or take “no impact” action, the aim of sustainability should always be to develop innovative, non-toxic, non-polluting chemicals in a circular economy which considers the preservation of raw materials and their recycling, the minimal impact on ecosystems, resource management, a sound understanding of risks, hazards, exposure and disposal thanks to education and transparent information.

**Stakeholder remarks on the key element “capacity building”:**

There is a need to implement capacity building programmes in emerging economies (education programmes in schools and universities, but also for government staff), and the need to mainstream the benefits of sustainable chemistry in terms of functional equipment, implementation of technologies. The creation of jobs has been identified as a crucial topic, especially for developing countries.

**Stakeholder remarks on the key element “assessment”:**

All stakeholders agreed on the urgency of setting out methods and tools to evaluate and access sustainability (e.g. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)) at different levels to compare different solutions. The Common Understanding should state the requirements needed to fill the SDGs.

**Stakeholder remarks on the topic of “language”:**

A common understanding needs an inclusive language to mobilize and motivate participation at a global and local level. The ISC should use a more specific language to better address sectors and stakeholders’ needs.

**Stakeholder remarks on the topic of “communication”:**

Global communication activities with positive and negative narratives about sustainable chemistry would be helpful, including the communication of case studies exemplifying activities. An important instrument for the implementation of sustainable chemistry would be the communication of best practices and success stories which would create the necessary awareness to gain acceptance for sustainable chemistry innovative solutions. Also, global information campaigns with a broad media support to inform the public opinion could be a powerful tool to get a broader consensus among stakeholders quickly. Therefore, a more comprehensive communications strategy is needed, targeting all relevant stakeholders, including SMEs and retrofits.

The mission of transforming chemistry could be summarized into a simple but powerful message: “We transform chemistry for …”, e.g. for and/or with society. Once a global vision is formulated, a mapping should be created to inform where initiatives are implemented and at which level (international, regional and local level) and where inaction takes place. Consumers should have access to material sheets and production process data to be fully aware of the impact their choices imply and to act well-informed about the products they use.

**Stakeholder remarks on the topic of “target groups”:**

Currently, the discussion about sustainable chemistry (and sustainability in general) is made by for researchers, key academics, industries etc. However, users, consumers, policymakers, start-ups, investors, SMEs and retrofits are also relevant stakeholders who should be targeted.

**Key Messages from the discussion on the guiding questions**

1. Regarding the question “What are the key elements to describe sustainable chemistry?” the following considerations were made:

SC (sustainable chemistry) is seen as a shifting paradigm, a learning process, or a process of continuous improvement, or a vision. According to some participants SC should be understood as a tool that serves for reaching a global goal, e.g. “a side-effect-free chemistry”. Based on a common understanding, it was suggested that all stakeholders should agree on starting a process to promote chemistry innovation without negative side effects. SC should be understood as a political forum for debate, empowering peoples/sectors to engage in the transformation and become a part of the solution. SC should be regarded as a common responsibility shared by all stakeholders, including industry. That is why policy advising and reasonable policymaking, as well as a continuous dialogue among all stakeholders, are the prerequisites to anchor SC in the consciousness of individuals and nations and set up an international agenda at a global level.

It was recommended that SC should focus on three dimensions: the economic dimension (is SC profitable?), the environmental dimension (minimizing hazards and risk) and the societal dimension (especially considering vulnerable groups: workers, women, children, gender). All these aspects should be equally considered. SC should provide clear guidance and ensure transparency and communication to make SC an important cross-sectoral element. The three dimensions of sustainability (economy, society and environment) and close collaboration between stakeholders from the private sector, the public sector and civil society would be needed as a driving force in the implementation.

In this context, SC should highlight the protection of vulnerable groups as a priority goal and should embrace the Agenda 2030 Commitment: “Leave No One Behind”. Transparency and collaboration are key to combat child labour and slave work. According to a stakeholder opinion, due diligence of chemicals producers is needed to achieve the transformation of the chemical industry. A common understanding should clearly state the reduction of social inequalities and the protection of human rights among its goals.

It was highlighted that SC could be a driver for innovation worldwide, particularly in developing countries. SC has the potential to contribute to the achievement of the SDGs and implementation of the international conventions. It might as well contribute to strengthening the innovation ecosystem and sustainable business models. A common understanding of SC might provide an international framework to guide stakeholders and users. The participants stated that SC could play an important role in tackling the world’s most critical problems by inspiring new partnerships and new value chains. Thus, SC could contribute to the UN call for action to improve living conditions while preserving the planet’s resources and respecting the planetary boundaries.

There was a broad consensus among the participants that SC should be considered as a holistic approach that contributes to sustainable development and goes beyond the goal of producing chemicals which are less toxic, thus focusing on the full life cycle of chemical products. An important step towards a holistic approach would be to assess the required function of a product and take alternative solutions into account. Providing an added sustainability value could help to steer away from business models that aim to maximize profit. On the other hand, the participants stated that stigmatisation of chemicals would be contra-productive and need to be prevented, as they play a crucial role in every day’s life. The main problem, according to some attendees in this context, arises from the way how chemicals are used and disposed – especially in developing or emerging countries. That is why capacity building needs to be prioritized by industry and governments.

Many participants agreed that SC encompasses green chemistry but goes beyond it by addressing the full life cycle of chemical products, starting with the design and function of a product, to end-of-life and reuse. Through its more holistic approach, SC addresses a large group of stakeholders, including innovators and investors. Green chemistry might be a more focused approach but should be considered as an indispensable part of SC and not as a separate path.

It was stressed during the discussion that SC should address prevention and enhance transparency in the full product life cycle. The important question of how
SC can contribute to minimize the harmful impacts of chemicals and prevent hazards from chemical waste should be regarded as a priority. It was highlighted that SC could help to replace hazardous substances with innovative solutions based on the SC approach. To find better alternatives, SC could help to inspire chemists to think out of the box, including the search for non-chemical alternatives. SC could help to create sustainable substances and materials with less by-products as possible while reducing resource and energy consumption. Substitutions based on the SC approach should be profitable, imply economic benefits and a win-win situation for industry and society, rising profit for companies while decreasing costs for consumers. SC should promote an evidence-based approach in substitution. Through innovation, SC could help to scale up the use of renewable resources, spur sustainable production, and be a key element to design a circular economy. Furthermore, it could make sure that emerging and developing countries benefit from know-how and technology transfer. Not only are new innovative technologies needed for implementing SC but also traditional, indigenous knowledge should be integrated into the concept of SC as a base for sustainable technologies.

It was mentioned that SC could contribute to finding better technologies and production processes based on a reliable framework or standard. SC could trigger a process of changing/replacing technology, equipment, and facilities as well as optimising processes and finding measurable steps to guide this optimisation.

2. Regarding the question “What are the specific expectations of the private sector and what defines sustainable chemistry from the perspective of the public sector, of academia and NGOs?” the following aspects were mentioned:

The participants agreed that transparency and knowledge sharing are key elements to promote sustainable development. Collaborative learning processes and co-creation might be more important than the competition to drive the transformation in the chemical sector. The dialogue processes of the ISC3 could help to enable the much-needed non-competitive formats. By providing a cross-sectoral multi-stakeholder platform, the centre could help to build up a consensus among the different stakeholder groups on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry.

Specific expectations from attendees from the private sector: Assessment criteria and actions to mainstreaming SC are needed as well as a global framework based on the UN SDGs. If the demand for sustainable chemistry products on the market increases, the private sector will respond accordingly. SC can help to create new business opportunities as well as promote sustainable investment. For a successful implementation of SC, an understanding, dialogue and trust among sectors and stakeholders are crucial. Digitalisation and Artificial Intelligence provide new opportunities to make products and processes more sustainable. Start-ups are key players to transfer sustainable chemistry research and innovation from academia to the industry.

Specific expectations by attendees from academia: SC should be understood as a multi-disciplinary approach. Implementing SC in research and curricula requires a new system thinking in chemistry, and a broader understanding of sustainable development, as well as life cycle approaches. SC should be included as a module into the curricula of organic, inorganic and/or analytical chemistry and might even lead to new scientific goals and ethics.

Specific expectations from non-governmental organisations: SC should strongly address human health topics and environmental issues. SC approaches protect users/consumers and ensure the sound management of chemicals throughout the life cycle as well as the safe and clean re-use of wastes. Education on SC should be available to support a re-thinking of lifestyles and attitudes towards consumption. Producer responsibility should be promoted and include waste minimisation and circularity.

3. Regarding the question “How can the different expectations be brought together in a “common understanding?” the following aspects were mentioned:

With regard to the question, what it would take to align perspectives and experiences from different sectors, the participants underlined the need for an open debate. Expectations need to be identified and described according to the specific sectoral language. This exercise would provide the stakeholders with a clear “map” to work on. Furthermore, to align positions: transparency and trust among the different sectors as well as knowledge about differences, similarities and linkages are important. Without a shared understanding on sustainable chemistry, the term might be used with very different objectives and connotations, including the misleading use for greenwashing.
Day 2
“Transforming Chemistry”

World Café discussion about the ISC3 activity fields
Participants were invited to reflect on the ISC3 activity fields and develop recommendations and ideas during a “World Café” dialogue, a format allowing every participant to contribute to the discussion on each activity field. The different world café sessions were facilitated by ISC3 team members and guided by questions such as: Do the activities help to spur the transformation towards sustainable chemistry? What would you recommend for making the activities a success? What can ISC3 learn from your experience? What are additional topics and actors the ISC3 should address?

The findings from the world café dialogue were documented by the facilitators, clustered thematically and presented in a poster session to wrap up the discussion. During the poster presentation, every participant was asked to prioritise three activities that were considered crucial for the transformation of the chemical sector towards sustainability in his/her opinion, using sticky dots. The priorities of the participants are indicated in diagrams below for each of the ISC3 activity fields.

Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field “Collaboration”
Collaboration was broadly acknowledged as a highly important field of activity for the ISC3. Participants recommended to increase international collaboration, to strengthen the involvement of stakeholders from academia and to strive for an international perspective in every aspect of the ISC3’s work. It was suggested to strengthen cooperation with other organisations to align resources as well as to engage in flagship projects in order to achieve greater visibility. Regarding the collaboration with the private sector, attendees recommended that the ISC3 should focus not only on start-ups but also on SMEs and larger companies. Repeatedly addressed in this session was the issue of plastic pollution and recycling, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 took first steps to engage in this issue. For its engagements in the international political debate and the SAICM process, stakeholders advised to address emerging policy issues (EPIs) and strengthen the references towards the SDGs. Furthermore, the participants discussed the complexity that comes with the holistic approach of sustainable chemistry and asked for ways to make the concept easily accessible for different stakeholder groups.

The discussion in this field also addressed the first ISC3 Workstream “Sustainable Building and Living”, dedicated to exploring the challenges and innovation fields of plastics use in this field. Participants agreed that the use of plastics in construction materials is a highly relevant field for investigation, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 covers the chemistry aspect since many other studies highlight climate change and resource efficiency in construction without addressing the challenges of plastic use. Participants also noted that there is a need to increase research efforts on the recycling of building materials as architects, planners and builders have paid not enough attention to recycling as well as environmental and health impacts of modern construction materials. The stakeholders expressed high interest in the results of the workstream and hope for clear, visible and quantitative results.

Related to the ISC3 workstream, the participants also discussed possible future workstream topics and suggested that specific areas should be explored which are interlinked with the SDGs. Attendees also advised finding partners to jointly work on the future workstream topics right from the start.

Following the discussion on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, the second day of the ISC3 Stakeholder Forum invited the participants to discuss the working programme of the centre and reflect upon further activities that contribute to transforming chemistry.

To enable a well-informed discussion, the ISC3 working programme was presented at the beginning of the day to the participants. Jointly, the ISC3 team introduced the stakeholders to the five activity fields of the centre: collaboration, innovation, education, research and information.

Collaboration was broadly acknowledged as a highly important field of activity for the ISC3. Participants recommended to increase international collaboration, to strengthen the involvement of stakeholders from academia and to strive for an international perspective in every aspect of the ISC3’s work. It was suggested to strengthen cooperation with other organisations to align resources as well as to engage in flagship projects in order to achieve greater visibility. Regarding the collaboration with the private sector, attendees recommended that the ISC3 should focus not only on start-ups but also on SMEs and larger companies. Repeatedly addressed in this session was the issue of plastic pollution and recycling, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 took first steps to engage in this issue. For its engagements in the international political debate and the SAICM process, stakeholders advised to address emerging policy issues (EPIs) and strengthen the references towards the SDGs. Furthermore, the participants discussed the complexity that comes with the holistic approach of sustainable chemistry and asked for ways to make the concept easily accessible for different stakeholder groups.

The discussion in this field also addressed the first ISC3 Workstream “Sustainable Building and Living”, dedicated to exploring the challenges and innovation fields of plastics use in this field. Participants agreed that the use of plastics in construction materials is a highly relevant field for investigation, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 covers the chemistry aspect since many other studies highlight climate change and resource efficiency in construction without addressing the challenges of plastic use. Participants also noted that there is a need to increase research efforts on the recycling of building materials as architects, planners and builders have paid not enough attention to recycling as well as environmental and health impacts of modern construction materials. The stakeholders expressed high interest in the results of the workstream and hope for clear, visible and quantitative results.

Related to the ISC3 workstream, the participants also discussed possible future workstream topics and suggested that specific areas should be explored which are interlinked with the SDGs. Attendees also advised finding partners to jointly work on the future workstream topics right from the start.

Following the discussion on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry, the second day of the ISC3 Stakeholder Forum invited the participants to discuss the working programme of the centre and reflect upon further activities that contribute to transforming chemistry.

To enable a well-informed discussion, the ISC3 working programme was presented at the beginning of the day to the participants. Jointly, the ISC3 team introduced the stakeholders to the five activity fields of the centre: collaboration, innovation, education, research and information.

Collaboration was broadly acknowledged as a highly important field of activity for the ISC3. Participants recommended to increase international collaboration, to strengthen the involvement of stakeholders from academia and to strive for an international perspective in every aspect of the ISC3’s work. It was suggested to strengthen cooperation with other organisations to align resources as well as to engage in flagship projects in order to achieve greater visibility. Regarding the collaboration with the private sector, attendees recommended that the ISC3 should focus not only on start-ups but also on SMEs and larger companies. Repeatedly addressed in this session was the issue of plastic pollution and recycling, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 took first steps to engage in this issue. For its engagements in the international political debate and the SAICM process, stakeholders advised to address emerging policy issues (EPIs) and strengthen the references towards the SDGs. Furthermore, the participants discussed the complexity that comes with the holistic approach of sustainable chemistry and asked for ways to make the concept easily accessible for different stakeholder groups.

The discussion in this field also addressed the first ISC3 Workstream “Sustainable Building and Living”, dedicated to exploring the challenges and innovation fields of plastics use in this field. Participants agreed that the use of plastics in construction materials is a highly relevant field for investigation, and it was positively noted that the ISC3 covers the chemistry aspect since many other studies highlight climate change and resource efficiency in construction without addressing the challenges of plastic use. Participants also noted that there is a need to increase research efforts on the recycling of building materials as architects, planners and builders have paid not enough attention to recycling as well as environmental and health impacts of modern construction materials. The stakeholders expressed high interest in the results of the workstream and hope for clear, visible and quantitative results.

Related to the ISC3 workstream, the participants also discussed possible future workstream topics and suggested that specific areas should be explored which are interlinked with the SDGs. Attendees also advised finding partners to jointly work on the future workstream topics right from the start.
Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field "Innovation"

Regarding the activity field "Innovation", the stakeholders claimed collaboration and matchmaking as an essential area of action. They suggested that the ISC3 should foster cooperation between companies and start-ups (collaborative innovation) and help founders gain access to markets and established corporations. The ISC3 should further offer guidance for start-ups through the many funding and support programmes.

To stimulate innovation, participants suggested that the ISC3 should support the development of an innovation ecosystem for sustainable chemistry, thus helping to strengthen peer-to-peer exchange among entrepreneurs and improve access to funding. The ISC3 should reach out to "idea-hunters", very early stage start-ups and researchers at universities, and provide motivation and guidance on how to bring promising new business models to the market. The stakeholders also considered education and an innovative mindset (think outside of the box) as a productive environment for innovations.

Of course, funding opportunities were considered an important aspect. The ISC3, therefore, was advised to provide information on where and how to apply for funding programmes. Besides financial support, legal and business advice was considered essential for founders and innovators. The ISC3 should further be able to provide transparent assessment criteria to evaluate the innovativeness and sustainability of an idea or a start-up. With success stories and showcasings, the ISC3 could provide visibility for innovations and founders. As a promoter of innovation and start-ups, the ISC3 could establish a brand for sustainable chemistry innovation and build up an international network of multipliers.

Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field "Education"

Regarding the activity field "Education", two aspects were voiced about the high costs and fees of existing programmes. Funding of collaboration in the scientific field was acknowledged as an essential driver of sustainable development. Collaboration helps to define synergies between different fields and facilitates interdisciplinary scientific work. It was further noted that cooperation with governments is needed to direct funding to sustainable chemistry projects. As new ideas and business models in sustainable chemistry normally start with research in a laboratory, funding as well as access to research facilities is crucial and should be supported, especially in developing countries. In this context, the collaboration of chemical incubators was also proposed.

Regarding future research topics, the attendees recommended the "protection and treatment or purification of water" as well as the "recycling of plastic and electronic waste".

The stakeholders very much approved the idea of an electronic waste".

Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field "Research"

Regarding the activity field "Research", stakeholders recommended that sustainable chemistry should become integrated into all chemistry research disciplines. The holistic approach of sustainable chemistry calls for the integration of social, ethical and regional aspects into scientific work. Participants suggested that the ISC3 should actively engage in scientific communities and promote networking activities among scientists on sustainable chemistry.

Funding of collaboration in the scientific field was acknowledged as an essential driver of sustainable development. Collaboration helps to define synergies between different fields and facilitates interdisciplinary scientific work. It was further noted that cooperation with governments is needed to direct funding to sustainable chemistry projects. As new ideas and business models in sustainable chemistry normally start with research in a laboratory, funding as well as access to research facilities is crucial and should be supported, especially in developing countries. In this context, the collaboration of chemical incubators was also proposed.

Regarding future research topics, the attendees recommended the "protection and treatment or purification of water" as well as the "recycling of plastic and electronic waste".

The stakeholders very much approved the idea of an electronic waste".

Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field "Research"

Regarding the activity field "Research", stakeholders recommended that sustainable chemistry should become integrated into all chemistry research disciplines. The holistic approach of sustainable chemistry calls for the integration of social, ethical and regional aspects into scientific work. Participants suggested that the ISC3 should actively engage in scientific communities and promote networking activities among scientists on sustainable chemistry.

Funding of collaboration in the scientific field was acknowledged as an essential driver of sustainable development. Collaboration helps to define synergies between different fields and facilitates interdisciplinary scientific work. It was further noted that cooperation with governments is needed to direct funding to sustainable chemistry projects. As new ideas and business models in sustainable chemistry normally start with research in a laboratory, funding as well as access to research facilities is crucial and should be supported, especially in developing countries. In this context, the collaboration of chemical incubators was also proposed.

Regarding future research topics, the attendees recommended the "protection and treatment or purification of water" as well as the "recycling of plastic and electronic waste".

The stakeholders very much approved the idea of an electronic waste".

Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field "Research"

Regarding the activity field "Research", stakeholders recommended that sustainable chemistry should become integrated into all chemistry research disciplines. The holistic approach of sustainable chemistry calls for the integration of social, ethical and regional aspects into scientific work. Participants suggested that the ISC3 should actively engage in scientific communities and promote networking activities among scientists on sustainable chemistry.

Funding of collaboration in the scientific field was acknowledged as an essential driver of sustainable development. Collaboration helps to define synergies between different fields and facilitates interdisciplinary scientific work. It was further noted that cooperation with governments is needed to direct funding to sustainable chemistry projects. As new ideas and business models in sustainable chemistry normally start with research in a laboratory, funding as well as access to research facilities is crucial and should be supported, especially in developing countries. In this context, the collaboration of chemical incubators was also proposed.

Regarding future research topics, the attendees recommended the "protection and treatment or purification of water" as well as the "recycling of plastic and electronic waste".
Stakeholder remarks and recommendations regarding the activity field Information

Regarding the activity field “Information”, the participants called for active and transparent information on sustainable chemistry that should be accessible to a broad range of stakeholders. Communication and dialogue with stakeholders in all world regions were considered essential to achieve the mission of the ISC3. To reach stakeholders in all world regions, the participants recommended workshops in different languages. The private sector was considered a highly relevant target group. Companies should be addressed by the ISC3 with information on sustainable chemistry. The participants called for information not only for experts but also for the general public as well as a clear, broadly accepted definition of sustainable chemistry.

It was emphasized that awareness-raising and education about sustainable chemistry should address the younger generation, starting at an early age. Reaching out to young chemists and researchers and engaging them in the dialogue on sustainable chemistry is therefore seen as an important task for the ISC3.

Relevant communication topics according to the stakeholders are product information, including the ecological footprints, alternatives for chemical products and information on the sound use of chemicals. They encouraged the ISC3 to initiate a discussion on the “life cycle of products” as well as the “positive and negative effects of a transformation towards sustainability”. They further advised to provide tailor-made information on a local, regional and global level as well as a clear, broadly accepted definition of sustainable chemistry.

The participants called for information not only for experts but also for the general public as well as outreach to the media.

General remarks and recommendations on the ISC3 working programme

ISC3’s working programme is perceived as very broad with its five activity fields collaboration, innovation, education, research and information. This approach allows for many different stakeholder groups to get involved and build up a cross-sectoral, international community of like-minded institutions and experts. Furthermore, it promotes the transformation in the chemical sector and beyond on different levels. To ensure that the activities are well-targeted, and resources efficiently used, participants recommended to develop long-term goals and indicators to measure the impact of the ISC3 activities. Flagship projects and cooperation with well-established institutions might be helpful to achieve high visibility while ensuring resource efficiency and effectiveness. It was suggested that the ISC3 should establish a learning process to ensure focus and impact orientation of its activities.

With regard to the complexity of the transformation towards sustainability in the chemical sector and beyond, the stakeholders called for approaches that help to reduce complexity and ensure accessibility for different stakeholder groups. This includes transparent ways of stakeholder engagement and cooperation with partners.

Many participants see the ISC3 in a unique position as platform for collaboration, providing a new opportunity to engage stakeholders from all relevant sectors. Furthermore, ISC3 could help to overcome the negative image of chemicals by providing a new, positive narrative of chemistry as a solution provider for sustainable development. Communicating best practices and success stories, for example from the ISC3 start-up programme, could help to increase awareness and understanding of the opportunities that come with sustainable chemistry innovation.

Open Forum: Sustainable Chemistry presented by the participants

During an Open Forum, participants were invited to give short pitch presentations to share information among the attendees. A broad range of projects in the field of sustainable chemistry were presented, touching upon innovation, education, research and many other topics. Examples for the pitch presentations are: presentations by start-ups, showcasing an innovative approach to bleach jeans without hazardous chemicals, as well as a blockchain-based model to share innovations in a safe space. Short introductions to the “life cycle of products” as well as “the positive and negative effects of a transformation towards sustainability” in the chemical sector and beyond were given as well as to the programme of the leading accelerator in Chile. Further presentations touched upon education on green chemistry and brought up an inspiring project in Nigeria, showcasing how sustainable chemistry can contribute to the remediation of oil-contaminated soils. Other examples for the diversity of presentations were a short introduction to research activities in Brazil on sustainable chemistry in the field of sustainable agriculture and food processing as well as a brief presentation on green and sustainable chemistry research in Japan. Furthermore, the open forum allowed for a first glimpse on the work of UN Environment regarding the mandate to develop manuals of green and sustainable chemistry and a brief overview on the discussion about sustainable chemistry at the OPCW.
The 1st ISC3 Stakeholder Forum at a glance

The first ISC3 Stakeholder Forum provided an opportunity for experts from different world regions to engage in a cross-sectoral dialogue on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry and the transformative agenda of the ISC3. Bringing together more than 100 experts on 20–21 June, 2019 in Bonn/Königswinter (Germany), the forum allowed not only for fruitful discussions, it proved to be an inspiring network event for the growing international sustainable chemistry community.

The forum evolved around two major topics: the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry and the working programme of the ISC3, as a contribution to the transformation in the chemical sector and beyond. The first day of the forum was dedicated to the dialogue on a common understanding of sustainable chemistry, gathering different views and opinions on the emerging concept. The second day moved the dialogue to the working programme, enabling discussions and knowledge sharing on the five activity fields of the ISC3: collaboration, innovation, education, research and information.

A ceremony to welcome new partners to the ISC3 network, an open forum session to share information and ideas as well as various networking opportunities were giving additional context to the stakeholder dialogue.

Day 1: “Mission Sustainable Chemistry”

Following the welcoming and introductions, the first day of the Stakeholder Forum opened the floor to a cross-sectoral discussion on the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry. Based on the ISC3 thought-starter “Towards a Common Understanding of Sustainable Chemistry” the stakeholders joined a round table dialogue, discussing the key elements of sustainable chemistry. Aiming for different points of view and expectations towards the concepts, the ISC3 team invited the participants to develop a joint understanding.

The dialogue brought up a broad range of opinions and gave fruitful insights into international expert knowledge. It became clear that the holistic approach of sustainable chemistry, addressing the triple bottom line of sustainability and the full product life cycle, is widely appreciated among the stakeholders and regarded as an essential and new way of systems thinking in the chemical sector. In this context, many participants agreed that sustainable chemistry embraces green chemistry but goes beyond it by offering an approach that includes social, economic and ethical aspects and is relevant for all sectors and actors producing, using and regulating chemicals. Based on the holistic approach of sustainable chemistry, a broad range of key elements were discussed which should be integrated into a common understanding. One of the most prominent discussion points was the idea that sustainable chemistry is a learning process and needs to be based on the shared knowledge and contributions of actors from all relevant sectors. Furthermore, the participants highlighted the social and ethical dimension that comes with the holistic approach and underlined the importance of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as a leading framework. They called for transparency, capacity building and education as key elements. Innovation and need for guidance and support in this field were identified as further important fields that should be addressed by a common understanding of sustainable chemistry.

Regarding the priorities of the different sectors, the sound management of chemicals and waste and the SAEM emerging policies issues were mentioned as important key elements as well as international conventions and regional frameworks like REACH in the EU. The participants highlighted the opportunities that arise with sustainable chemistry for the private sector, especially new business models that contribute to a circular economy as well as enhanced resource efficiency and substitution. Representatives from academia underlined that the concept needs to be understood as a multidisciplinary approach that goes beyond traditional curricula. Regardless of the specific perspective, the participants agreed that dialogue, collaboration and trust are crucial for the breakthrough of sustainable chemistry.

Day 2: “Transforming Chemistry”

On the second day of the forum the stakeholders were invited to reflect upon the working programme of the ISC3 and engage in shaping the agenda of the centre. The world café dialogue evolved around the five activity fields of the ISC3: collaboration, innovation, education, research and information.

During the discussion, the participants gave fruitful advice and shared ideas on the activity fields of the centre as well as on the actions needed to promote the transformation in the chemical sector and beyond. It was broadly acknowledged during the discussion that international collaboration among sectors and actors is crucial for the breakthrough of sustainable chemistry. The holistic approach of sustainable chemistry calls for enhanced cooperation and knowledge sharing, putting the ISC3 as a collaborative centre in a unique position to support this important dialogue.

Regarding the field of innovation, stakeholders agreed on the manifold opportunities that come with sustainable chemistry and called for contributions to build up an international innovation ecosystem, better access to funding as well as visibility and guidance for entrepreneurs. The dialogue on education highlighted the importance of developing a new mindset and out-of-the-box thinking in the chemical sector. Furthermore, the participants underlined the need for outreach to the younger generation as well as the long-term goal of making sustainable chemistry education available for everyone. Regarding the research activities, it became clear that sustainable chemistry needs to be integrated into all chemical research disciplines and requires collaboration among scientists as well as substantial funding. Last but not least, the discussion on information shed light on the importance of transparency and knowledge sharing. Calling for active and tailor-made communication, the participants agreed that sustainable chemistry offers the opportunity to develop a new narrative on chemicals as a solutions provider and contribution to achieving the SDGs.

Looking back at the first Stakeholder Forum, the ISC3 is very grateful for the positive feedback from the participants, the inspiring discussions, the wealth of shared ideas and especially the dedication of the participants to the emerging concept of sustainable chemistry. The ISC3 team thanks all participants for their attendance, commitment, and valuable remarks and recommendations and looks forward to the future dialogue and collaboration with the growing international sustainable chemistry community.